

Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization

Doc Type: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG

Title: UTC Response to CJK Working Set 2015 v2.0 "Consolidated Comments" (IRG N2155)

Source: Unicode Consortium



Status: Liaison Contribution

Action: For consideration by IRG



Date: 2016-10-02

In addition to the UTC's [previous response](#) to comments against UTC-submitted characters in IRG N2155, to include UTC-submitted characters that have been [postponed](#) or [unified/width-drawn](#), the following table provides the UTC's responses to CJK Working Set 2015 Version 2.0 "Consolidated Comments" (aka IRG N2155) with regard to the disposition of comments against UTC-submitted characters:

Serial #	Source Ref	Glyph	Response
00114	UTC-00972	𢇛	TCA suggested changing radical to #18, and HK requested a fixed representative glyph in terms of its lower-left stroke. The UTC does not agree with the proposed radical change, because U+4E89's radical is #6, not #18. The representative glyph was adjusted per HK's request.
00124	UTC-01074	𢇛	TCA suggested changing radical to #75, stroke count to 6, and first stroke to 4. The UTC does not object to this change.
03560	UTC-01286	𢇛	<p>Japan's suggested unification with U+7097 can be seen as part of larger unifiable components, such as UCVs #300 through #302, and perhaps more explicitly as #303, though the bottom horizontal stroke does not contact the top strokes in the representative glyph, which is also clearly reflected in its evidence. Additional evidence for this character can be found on page 325 of 《古壮字字典》, which indicates that it is a variant of the not-yet-encoded 𢇛+威:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">𢇛 (𢇛 𢇛) mez [me¹] 水藻。</p> <p>The UTC therefore objects to unification.</p>

Serial #	Source Ref	Glyph	Response
03718	UTC-01055		HK requested a fixed representative glyph in terms of the arrangement of its right-side components. The representative glyph was adjusted per HK's request.
04319	UTC-00791		Japan stated that the representative glyph does not match its evidence. The UTC's response is that 1) the mismatch between the representative glyph and its evidence is known and was explicitly pointed out in the original submission (in other words, the evidence can be considered to be in error); 2) the representative glyph more closely matches actual/common use; 3) the representative glyph also matches the simplified form and its evidence, in terms of the components and their arrangement (see IRG N2155 04318; UTC-01312); and 4) this character has a great deal of inherent variability, and the UTC feels that the best approach is to encode a "standard" or "common" version of this character, then address its variant forms via the IVD.

The following are additional UTC comments on CJK Working Set 2015 Version 2.0:

Serial #	Source Ref	Glyph	Comments
00109	UTC-02933		Change to radical #42 with a negative stroke count of -1.
00110	UTC-02934		Change to radical #42 with a negative stroke count of -1.

Please find attached to this PDF file revised bitmaps for 00114 (*UTC00972.png*) and 03718 (*UTC01055.png*), along with an updated TrueType font (*UTCHan.ttf*) that reflects the same representative glyph changes.

That is all.